

UDC 004.738.5:81'324:336.74

DOI <https://doi.org/10.52726/as.humanities/2025.4.20>

### **M. V. NADUTENKO**

*PhD, Head of the Department of Informatics,*

*Ukrainian Lingua-Information Foundation of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine*

*E-mail: hostmaster@ulif.org.ua*

*<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6732-8455>*

### **M. V. NADUTENKO**

*Candidate of Philological Sciences, Senior Researcher,*

*Ukrainian Lingua-Information Foundation of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv, Ukraine*

*E-mail: margo.nadutenko@gmail.com*

*<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3215-0970>*

### **O. L. FAST**

*Candidate of Sciences (Pedagogics), Associate Professor,*

*Vice-Rector for Research, Teaching and International Relations,*

*Municipal Higher Educational Institution "Lutsk Pedagogical College"*

*of the Volyn Regional Council, Lutsk, Ukraine*

*E-mail: ofast@lpc.ukr.education*

*<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7216-0044>*

## **THE POWER OF LANGUAGE IN THE WORLD OF BLOCKCHAIN: A SYNERGY OF DIGITAL TOOLS FOR REGULATING GLOBAL DEVELOPMENT**

This paper explores the deepening convergence of language-powered communication frameworks and blockchain-based financial-technological tools, which together shape global socio-economic evolution. Amid progressive digitalization and hyper-accelerated information flows – conditions drastically heightened by the COVID-19 pandemic – humanity is seeking new means to regulate collective behaviors and market dynamics. While social networks and cutting-edge AI solutions revolutionize the communicative sphere, decentralized mechanisms like blockchain and cryptocurrencies create novel pathways for financial transaction control and governance. We investigate how these factors interact, highlighting the pivotal role of language as the bedrock of societal intelligence, the effects of pandemic-induced digital transformations, and the capacity of blockchain to facilitate or decelerate social progress. We further address emerging dilemmas around free speech, data transparency, and cross-border regulation of AI. Concluding, we propose strategies and guidelines for responsibly harmonizing these disruptive technologies with global ethical, cultural, and regulatory norms. Potential risks and recommendations: unified regulatory boundaries (global coordination is essential to develop common ethical and legal guidelines for AI and blockchain adoption); preservation of cultural and linguistic diversity (policymakers and educational institutions should invest in mother-tongue resources, traditional literature, and humanities programs to safeguard cultural heritage); ensuring data transparency and accountability (cross-border data governance alliances, featuring open auditing protocols, could mitigate clandestine abuses of power); financial and technological literacy (advanced transaction systems and cryptographic platforms must be accessible to the broader public, preventing an extreme knowledge gap that disempowers entire socio-economic groups); futuristic research and ethical oversight boards (policymakers, scientific communities, and global institutions need to collaborate on evaluating the complex interplay of AI, blockchain, and potential biotechronic fusions).

**Key words:** blockchain; language governance; digital transformation; AI regulation; cryptocurrencies; socio-technical development.

**Introduction.** Language has historically functioned as the chief conduit through which human societies accumulate and share knowledge, enabling the refinement of collective intellect over centuries [Bender, Koller; Chomsky]. With the rise of computing power and the ubiquity of the internet,

modern forms of communication allow enormous volumes of content to be generated and exchanged instantly [Floridi; Marcus, Davis]. Most recently, the COVID-19 crisis acted as a catalyst, accelerating digitization across industries and compelling billions of people to interact chiefly through online

platforms [OpenAI]. These upheavals have fueled unprecedented growth in teleworking, e-learning, and virtual collaboration [Zuboff].

Amid this shift, blockchain innovations and cryptocurrency-based financial ecosystems have gained prominence, underscoring the capacity of decentralized networks to transform traditional economic structures [WEF; Buterin]. Originally conceived as peer-to-peer cash solutions, cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin, Ethereum, and emerging “smart-contract” protocols allow for dynamic and modular governance of transactions without reliance on conventional central banks or classical monetary policies [Nakamoto; Tapscott]. This decoupling raises critical regulatory questions: who wields authority in shaping monetary velocity, and how do we prevent the misuse of decentralized finance (DeFi) for illicit or destabilizing purposes? [World Bank].

At the same time, global social media ecosystems have expanded in both user base and influence, often propelled by personalized content algorithms [Zuboff]. Combined with AI-driven tools – chiefly Large Language Models (LLMs) like GPT-4 – these communication channels have the power to amplify narratives instantaneously, impacting political elections, public health strategies, and social movements [Chomsky; Marcus]. In conjunction with decentralized finance, this entwined socio-technical architecture can drastically reshape global power balances, either reinforcing existing inequalities or opening new windows for collective empowerment [Floridi; Bloomberg News]. The central question, therefore, becomes whether these forces can be guided prudently to foster democratic, ethically grounded social innovation.

#### *The central role of language in societal development*

**A. Language as the Kernel of Collective Intelligence:** Human language underlies every act of complex cooperation, from the exchange of cultural narratives to the operational processes of advanced engineering projects [Chomsky; Dogecoin Foundation]. By structuring experience and encoding knowledge, language creates shared conceptual maps that enable large-scale coordination [Bender, Koller; Marcus, Davis]. Today, social networks magnify this effect as billions of voices connect via content-sharing platforms (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, TikTok). As a result, social media fosters emergent behaviors – cooperative or antagonistic – far faster than conventional media

channels [Zuboff].

Yet the same linguistic substrate now fuels AI systems, especially generative models trained on massive text corpora [Marcus, Davis]. These models can produce context-aware responses, bridging language barriers through near-instant translation while also generating new knowledge that may or may not be grounded in fact [Chomsky; OpenAI]. While this rapid knowledge diffusion offers opportunities for innovation, it also creates governance challenges concerning misinformation, cultural identity, and user autonomy [Zuboff; Singh].

**B. Accelerated Lexical Growth and Technological Platforms:** The more specialized our professional fields become, the more vocabulary and conceptual density we accumulate [Bender, Koller; Nakamoto]. Historically, such expansions occurred organically, as industries and academic disciplines devised new jargon. However, AI-driven technologies and global communication networks drastically shorten the time from concept inception to widespread adoption. New terms and processes can proliferate across industries and nations in mere weeks [Marcus, Davis]. For instance, breakthroughs in cryptographic algorithms or novel DeFi products can be disseminated instantly, demanding rapid, adaptive expansions of domain-specific language and regulatory frameworks [Tapscott; World Bank].

**C. AI “Externalization” of Language:** Modern Large Language Models (LLMs) demonstrate an astounding capacity to carry out tasks previously requiring human linguistic cognition, such as summarizing complex texts, translating seamlessly across languages, and generating strategic business ideas [Chomsky; Marcus]. This can streamline workflows, but also displaces certain professional tasks, raising important societal and ethical questions about job markets, intellectual property, and genuine human creativity [Singh; SEC]. When “language itself” becomes the operational substrate for AI systems, unforeseen cultural shifts may ensue, including the erosion of smaller languages and a pivot toward homogenized global discourse [Bender, Koller; Zuboff].

#### *Digital transformation and the Covid-19 acceleration:*

**A. The Global Social Experiment of Lockdowns:** The COVID-19 pandemic, with its subsequent lockdowns and quarantines, forced significant portions of the global population to transition

many daily activities – work, school, entertainment – into digital spheres [OpenAI]. Video conferencing and social networking platforms surged in adoption, transforming them into critical infrastructure for international collaboration, remote medical consultations, and even official governmental communications [Zuboff; Singh]. This shift forged an unprecedented reliance on online channels as the “main artery” of personal and institutional interactions.

Furthermore, the massive uptick in remote labor has expanded the gig economy, allowing highly skilled workers to operate transnationally without leaving their homes [Chomsky; Marcus]. But while this fosters economic efficiency, it also introduces vulnerabilities related to data privacy, digital burnout, and the risk of monopolistic control by large tech platforms [Bender, Koller; Zuboff]. Debates around net neutrality and platform regulation thus reignite, underlining the delicate balance between open communication and effective governance [Singh].

#### B. Regulating the Speed of Societal Growth via Online Platforms:

**Centralized Content Moderation:** Online platforms, by virtue of controlling content distribution algorithms, already exert considerable influence on user behavior [Zuboff; Singh]. In crisis situations, they can throttle disinformation or inflammatory posts to reduce public panic – a “braking mechanism” aimed at stabilizing society [Chomsky; SEC]. However, this approach may transform into censorship if used recklessly or without transparent oversight [Floridi; Singh].

**Global Real-Time “Nudging”:** Some governments and NGOs conceive social media as a strategic vector for broadcasting beneficial or corrective messages, such as health advisories during pandemics [OpenAI]. By tailoring messages and amplifying specific content, these actors effectively shape collective viewpoints in near-real time [Zuboff]. Although occasionally beneficial, such interventions challenge the concept of free speech and can polarize already-fractious communities [Dogecoin Foundation].

**C. The Freedom vs. Control Paradox:** Balancing user autonomy with the imperative to mitigate harmful content remains one of the digital age’s most pressing debates [Chomsky; Floridi; SEC]. Excessive regulation erodes trust and fosters

alternatives on unregulated “dark” social platforms. In contrast, minimal oversight allows toxic behaviors to proliferate [Singh]. International bodies such as the European Commission continue to propose legislative frameworks, as exemplified by the draft AI Act, seeking a proportional and transparent regulatory stance [European Commision].

Blockchain and cryptocurrencies: financial-communication dynamics:

#### A. Decentralized Structures as a Paradigm Shift:

Traditionally, national banks wielded control over money supply and interest rates to guide economic velocity [WEF; Buterin]. However, with the creation of Bitcoin [Nakamoto] and subsequent blockchains like Ethereum [Buterin], a decentralized method of transferring and storing value emerged. Smart contracts extend this concept by embedding programmable conditions into transactions, enabling more nuanced regulation of funds’ usage [Tapscott]. While these innovations can offer advanced accountability, the distributed consensus also hinders state mechanisms for emergency intervention [World Bank].

**1. Implications for Global Regulation:** In crises, central banks typically tighten or loosen monetary policies, but decentralized networks cannot be so easily manipulated. Some have proposed “technical throttling,” raising transaction fees or imposing delays, but these can only be implemented if enough nodes in a decentralized system agree [Buterin; Nakamoto; Tapscott].

**2. Crisis Management Tools:** The notion of freezing malicious addresses or blacklisting certain wallets has surfaced as a measure to reduce fraud or illegal activity. Yet critics warn that any single entity with such authority threatens the principle of decentralization and user autonomy [World Bank]. Debate over whether partial centralization is necessary to ensure compliance with anti-money-laundering laws (AML) and Know Your Customer (KYC) requirements persists [WEF; Tapscott; Singh].

**B. Cryptocurrencies as a Mechanism for Regulating Societal Velocity:** Beyond financial speculation, cryptocurrencies facilitate real-time, frictionless global transactions [Buterin; Tapscott]. This scenario empowers communities to rapidly mobilize resources, from charitable donations for disaster relief to financing new ventures [World Bank]. When linked to social networks, as in

prospective “X coin” solutions on Elon Musk’s X platform (formerly Twitter), the result is a near-instant interplay between economic and communicative signals [Bloomberg News; Dogecoin Foundation; Singh]. Market moves can hinge on influential tweets or trending discussions, making public discourse a genuine driver of financial markets.

In parallel, The Open Network (TON) project stands as a potential blueprint for integrated messaging and decentralized finance [Cointelegraph; SEC; TON Foundation]. Despite legal and regulatory challenges, it underscores the potential synergy between communication tools and blockchain, bridging day-to-day interactions with immediate and global value exchange [Nakamoto; Cointelegraph]. Over time, such an infrastructure might enable organic “speed-ups” in certain industries or cause selective “slowdowns” in others, depending on how communities design consensus parameters and transaction rules [Buterin; World Bank].

#### *Interdependence of digital platforms, language, and AI:*

**A. AI as a Driver of a New Evolutionary Stage:** Since LLMs are trained on vast troves of digital text, the borderline between AI’s “knowledge” and real-world data is increasingly blurred [4; 5]. These systems deliver sophisticated insights that often surpass human capacity in speed or breadth of reading, with immediate translation features that remove historical language barriers [Bender; Chomsky]. If applied responsibly, such capabilities expedite cross-cultural collaboration and research breakthroughs [Singh]. Yet potential pitfalls loom: the homogenization of linguistic expression can erode cultural nuances, while large-scale AI adoption can disrupt labor markets and concentrate power in the hands of major technology holders [Zuboff; European Commission; IEEE].

**B. Potentially Self-Regulating AI in Blockchain Environments:** A future scenario features AI-based agents operating within decentralized blockchains, where “machine languages” handle negotiations, resource allocations, and process governance autonomously [Nakamoto; TON Foundation]. This leap raises the question: could an AI entity, unbound by human oversight, shape monetary and social evolution? [Bostrom; Kurzweil]. If these intelligences collectively program or reprogram consensus protocols, they might set transaction speeds, approve or deny user proposals,

or even pass AI-driven “legislation” in digital ecosystems. While such a scenario remains largely hypothetical, the underlying trend of automation creeping into socio-economic spheres is evident [Floridi; IEEE].

#### *Global evolutionary prospects: technological slowdowns or expansions:*

**A. Historical Parallels with Previous Technological Revolutions:** Every major innovation wave – from steam engines to electrification – has sparked upheavals in labor and governance structures [Chomsky; Bostrom; Kurzweil]. The present wave, by enabling “steam engines for cognition,” accelerates the conversion of human language into actionable AI commands [Marcus; OpenAI]. Regulatory bodies can attempt partial bans, reminiscent of how certain countries restrict social media or block cryptocurrency exchanges [World Bank]. However, these efforts often encounter resistance, technological workarounds, or partial compliance at best [Zuboff; European Commission].

**B. Biotechtronic Convergence and Transhumanist Vistas:** Emerging synergy among biotechnology, AI, quantum computing, and blockchain could eventually spawn new forms of “hybrid life,” reshaping even the notion of humanity [Bostrom; Kurzweil]. Brain-computer interfaces may fuse biological cognition with digital frameworks, bridging personal consciousness and decentralized data flows. Advocates claim these paths will extend human capabilities, while skeptics fear unregulated transhumanism might undermine fundamental ethics and existing social contracts [Singh; IEEE]. A measured, international approach is thus critical, lest these advancements yield hidden pathways for exploitation or unforeseen environmental impacts [Chomsky; European Commission].

#### *Potential risks and recommendations:*

**1. Unified Regulatory Boundaries:** Global coordination is essential to develop common ethical and legal guidelines for AI and blockchain adoption [European Commission; IEEE]. This effort must go beyond superficial compliance, ensuring genuine respect for fundamental human rights in an era of mass surveillance and data capitalism [Zuboff; Singh].

**2. Preservation of Cultural and Linguistic Diversity:** Continuous advancements in AI-driven translation risk diluting unique linguistic traditions, prompting a drift toward a uniform “technical

dialect” [Bender; Chomsky]. Policymakers and educational institutions should invest in mother-tongue resources, traditional literature, and humanities programs to safeguard cultural heritage [Singh].

**3. Ensuring Data Transparency and Accountability:** AI and blockchain solutions rely on massive datasets. Without clear, legally mandated data handling standards, “acceleration” or “braking” of societal processes may arise from opaque manipulations [Floridi; SEC]. Cross-border data governance alliances, featuring open auditing protocols, could mitigate clandestine abuses of power [World Bank; European Commission].

**4. Financial and Technological Literacy:** Advanced transaction systems and cryptographic platforms must be accessible to the broader public, preventing an extreme knowledge gap that disempowers entire socio-economic groups [Nakamoto; World Bank]. Educational campaigns, open-source libraries, and user-friendly applications can help democratize these tools [Tapscott; Bloomberg News].

**5. Futuristic Research and Ethical Oversight Boards:** Policymakers, scientific communities, and global institutions need to collaborate on evaluating the complex interplay of AI, blockchain, and potential biotechtronic fusions [Singh; Bostrom; European Commission]. Ethical oversight committees, staffed by interdisciplinary experts, could anticipate risks and propose real-time regulatory adjustments, forestalling destructive tendencies [European Commission].

**Conclusions.** The modern landscape – defined by social networks, AI-driven language tools, global pandemic disruptions, and blockchain ecosystems – heralds a new stage in humanity’s

evolutionary trajectory [Chomsky; Floridi; Marcus, Davis]. Digital platforms permit intricate and instantaneous interventions in collective behavior, either speeding technological transformations or temporarily slowing them to manage societal shocks [Bender; Zuboff]. Cryptocurrencies and decentralized finance form a complementary domain, overseeing the velocity of capital flow and resource allocation at scales once unimaginable [Buterin; Nakamoto; Tapscott]. In harnessing these parallel frameworks, societies face the dual perils of cultural homogenization and the potential rise of autonomous AI “actors,” as well as the deliberate misuse of data for oppressive ends [Singh; SEC; Bostrom].

Despite these risks, halting progress is neither feasible nor desirable, as innovation has historically paved the way for higher living standards and expanded horizons for human creativity [Floridi; Kurzweil]. Instead, humanity must craft coherent strategies to channel these rapid developments responsibly. A culture of openness, robust legal norms, and inclusive educational initiatives are all vital. By identifying and regulating the friction points between language-based AI systems and decentralized blockchain protocols, global communities can ensure that the next generation of socio-technical evolution underscores equity and collective well-being. The stakes remain high; without thoughtful oversight, “evolutionary catastrophes” could loom on the horizon. But with collaboration, careful planning, and a resolute commitment to protecting human values, these transformative forces may be directed to serve a more enlightened and sustainable future [Singh; European Commission; IEEE].

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bender E. M., Koller A. Climbing towards NLU: On meaning, form, and understanding in the age of data. *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. 2020. C. 5185–5198. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.463>.
2. Chomsky N. *Language and Mind*. 3rd ed. Cambridge : Cambridge University Press, 2006.
3. Floridi L. *The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere Is Reshaping Human Reality*. Oxford : Oxford University Press, 2014.
4. Marcus G., Davis E. *Rebooting AI: Building Artificial Intelligence We Can Trust*. New York : Vintage, 2020.
5. OpenAI. GPT-4 technical report. 2023. URL: <https://openai.com/research/gpt-4>.
6. Zuboff S. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power*. New York : PublicAffairs, 2019.
7. World Economic Forum. The COVID-19 pandemic has changed education forever. 2020. URL: <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/coronavirus-education-global-covid19-online-digital-learning>.
8. Buterin V. A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform. 2014. URL: <https://ethereum.org/en/whitepaper>.
9. Nakamoto S. *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System*. 2008. URL: <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>.

10. Tapscott D., Tapscott A. Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World. New York : Penguin, 2016.
11. World Bank. Regulating Crypto: The Evolving Role of Financial Authorities. 2022. URL: <https://www.worldbank.org>.
12. Bloomberg News. X Payments and the new face of crypto adoption. 2024. URL: <https://www.bloomberg.com>.
13. Dogecoin Foundation. Use Cases and Market Influence of DOGE. 2023. URL: <https://dogecoin.com>.
14. Singh S. Elon Musk's vision for X: More than a social network. *Forbes Technology Council*. 2023. URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2023/11/20/elon-musks-vision-for-x>.
15. Cointelegraph. TON ecosystem and the future of decentralized finance. 2023. URL: <https://cointelegraph.com>.
16. SEC v. Telegram Group Inc., 19 Civ. 9439 (PKC), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York. 2020. URL: <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/litreleases/2020/lr24710.htm>.
17. TON Foundation. The Open Network Whitepaper. 2023. URL: <https://ton.org/docs>.
18. Bostrom N. Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies. Oxford : Oxford University Press, 2014.
19. Kurzweil R. The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology. New York : Viking, 2005.
20. European Commission. Proposal for a Regulation on a European Approach for Artificial Intelligence (AI Act). 2021. URL: <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021PC0206>.
21. IEEE Global Initiative on Ethics of Autonomous and Intelligent Systems. Ethically Aligned Design: A Vision for Prioritizing Human Well-Being with Autonomous and Intelligent Systems. 2019. URL: <https://ethicsinaction.ieee.org>.

## REFERENCES

1. Bender, E. M., & Koller, A. (2020). Climbing towards NLU: On meaning, form, and understanding in the age of data. Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 5185–5198. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.463>
2. Chomsky, N. (2006). Language and Mind (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
3. Floridi, L. (2014). The Fourth Revolution: How the Infosphere Is Reshaping Human Reality. Oxford University Press.
4. Marcus, G., & Davis, E. (2020). Rebooting AI: Building Artificial Intelligence We Can Trust. Vintage.
5. OpenAI. (2023). GPT-4 technical report. <https://openai.com/research/gpt-4>
6. Zuboff, S. (2019). The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power. PublicAffairs.
7. World Economic Forum. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic has changed education forever. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/coronavirus-education-global-covid19-online-digital-learning>
8. Buterin, V. (2014). A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform. <https://ethereum.org/en/whitepaper>
9. Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>
10. Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2016). Blockchain Revolution: How the Technology Behind Bitcoin Is Changing Money, Business, and the World. Penguin.
11. World Bank. (2022). Regulating Crypto: The Evolving Role of Financial Authorities. <https://www.worldbank.org>
12. Bloomberg News. (2024). X Payments and the new face of crypto adoption. Bloomberg.com. <https://www.bloomberg.com>
13. Dogecoin Foundation. (2023). Use Cases and Market Influence of DOGE. <https://dogecoin.com>
14. Singh, S. (2023). Elon Musk's vision for X: More than a social network. *Forbes Technology Council*. URL: <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2023/11/20/elon-musks-vision-for-x>
15. Cointelegraph. (2023). TON ecosystem and the future of decentralized finance. Cointelegraph. URL: <https://cointelegraph.com>
16. SEC v. Telegram Group Inc., 19 Civ. 9439 (PKC), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York (2020). URL: <https://www.sec.gov/litigation/litreleases/2020/lr24710.htm>
17. TON Foundation. (2023). The Open Network Whitepaper. <https://ton.org/docs>
18. Bostrom, N. (2014). Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies. Oxford University Press.
19. Kurzweil, R. (2005). The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology. Viking.
20. European Commission. (2021). Proposal for a Regulation on a European Approach for Artificial Intelligence (AI Act). <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52021PC0206>
21. IEEE Global Initiative on Ethics of Autonomous and Intelligent Systems. (2019). Ethically Aligned Design: A Vision for Prioritizing Human Well-Being with Autonomous and Intelligent Systems. <https://ethicsinaction.ieee.org>

**М. В. НАДУТЕНКО**

кандидат технічних наук зі структурної, прикладної та математичної лінгвістики,  
завідувач відділу інформатики,

Український мовно-інформаційний фонд Національної академії наук України, м. Київ, Україна

Електронна пошта: [hostmaster@ulif.org.ua](mailto:hostmaster@ulif.org.ua)

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6732-8455>

**М. В. НАДУТЕНКО**

кандидат філологічних наук, старший науковий співробітник,

Український мовно-інформаційний фонд Національної академії наук України, м. Київ, Україна

Електронна пошта: [margo.nadutenko@gmail.com](mailto:margo.nadutenko@gmail.com)

<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3215-0970>

**О. Л. ФАСТ**

кандидат педагогічних наук, доцент,

проректор з науково-педагогічної роботи та міжнародної співпраці,

Комунальний заклад вищої освіти «Луцький педагогічний коледж»

Волинської обласної ради, м. Луцьк, Україна

Електронна пошта: [olhafast.ua@gmail.com](mailto:olhafast.ua@gmail.com)

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7216-0044>

**СИЛА МОВИ У СВІТІ БЛОКЧЕЙН: СИНЕРГІЯ ЦИФРОВИХ ІНСТРУМЕНТІВ  
ДЛЯ РЕГУЛЮВАННЯ ГЛОБАЛЬНОГО РОЗВИТКУ**

У цій статті досліджується поглиблення конвергенції мовних комунікаційних платформ та фінансових технологічних інструментів на основі блокчейну, які разом формують глобальну соціально-економічну еволюцію. У умовах прогресивної цифровізації та гіперприскорених інформаційних потоків, які різко посилилися під час пандемії COVID-19, людство шукає нові засоби регулювання колективної поведінки та ринкової динаміки. Соціальні мережі та передові рішення в галузі штучного інтелекту революціонізують сферу комунікації, а децентралізовані механізми, такі як блокчейн і криптовалюти, створюють нові шляхи для контролю фінансових транзакцій та управління ними. Ми досліджуємо, як ці фактори взаємодіють між собою, підкреслюючи ключову роль мови як основи суспільного інтелекту, наслідки цифрових трансформацій, спричинених пандемією, та здатність блокчейну сприяти або сповільнювати соціальний прогрес. Далі ми розглядаємо нові дилеми, пов'язані зі свободою слова, прозорістю даних та транскордонним регулюванням штучного інтелекту. На завершення ми пропонуємо стратегії та рекомендації щодо відповідальної гармонізації цих революційних технологій із глобальними етичними, культурними та нормативними нормами. Потенційні ризики та рекомендації: єдині регуляторні межі (глобальна координація є важливою для розробки спільних етичних та правових рекомендацій щодо впровадження ШІ та блокчейну); збереження культурного та мовного різноманіття (політики та освітні установи повинні інвестувати в ресурси рідною мовою, традиційну літературу та гуманітарні програми для захисту культурної спадщини); забезпечення прозорості та підзвітності даних (транскордонні альянси з управління даними, що включають відкриті протоколи аудиту, можуть пом'якшити таємні зловживання владою); фінансова та технологічна грамотність (передові системи транзакцій та криптографічні платформи повинні бути доступними для широкої громадськості, запобігаючи надзвичайній прогалині в знаннях, яка позбавляє прав цілі соціально-економічні групи); футурystичні дослідницькі та етичні наглядові ради (політики, наукові спільноти та глобальні інституції повинні співпрацювати для оцінки складної взаємодії ШІ, блокчейну та потенційних біотехронних злиттів).

**Ключові слова:** блокчейн; управління мовою; цифрова трансформація; регулювання штучного інтелекту; криптовалюти; соціально-технічний розвиток.

Дата першого надходження рукопису до видання: 30.11.2025

Дата прийнятого до друку рукопису після рецензування: 15.12.2025

Дата публікації: 31.12.2025